

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/642,642	08/22/2000	SHINGO SUZUKI	107100	9149
25944	7590 12/30/2003	EXAMINER		INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	17
		DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
ь	Application No.				
Office Action Summers	09/642,642	SUZUKI, SHINGO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 De	ecember 2003.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This a	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 2 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 2 and 9-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Page 1	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/642,642 Page 2

Art Unit: 2674

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Application has been examined. The claims 2 and 9-14 are pending. The examination results are as following.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokota et al. (US patent 5,764,315).
- 3. Regarding claim 2, Yokota et al. disclose in figures 14 and 28 that a spread illuminating apparatus in which a square transparent substrate (60) is provided close to the surface of a liquid crystal panel (see abstract), and a plurality of straight groove portions (61a, 61b) are form on the surface of the transparent substrate intersecting one another obliquely with respect to the four sides of the transparent substrate so as to reflect light toward said liquid crystal panel (see a planar lighting device, see column 5, lines 24-29). However, Yokota et al. do not disclose whereby light traveling in a direction substantially parallel to the major surface of the transparent substrate is reflected at said plurality of straight groove portions in a direction substantially perpendicular to the major surface of the transparent substrate so as to travel toward the liquid

,

Application/Control Number: 09/642,642

(see figures 2-4 and 13-14, column 2, lines 5-48).

Art Unit: 2674

crystal panel. From the claim, it would have been obvious to have light traveling in a direction substantially parallel to the major surface of the transparent substrate is reflected at said plurality of straight groove portions in a direction substantially perpendicular to the major surface of the transparent substrate so as to travel toward the liquid crystal panel (because Yokota et al. teach a plurality of straight groove portions (61a, 61b) are formed on the surface of the transparent substrate and so as to reflect light toward to the liquid crystal panel, therefore the light traveling should be in direction parallel to the transparent substrate and reflected at the groove portions in a direction substantially perpendicular to the major surface of transparent substrate, see figures

- 4. Regarding claims 9-11, Yokota et al. disclose that the wherein the groove portions are substantially triangular in cross section (see figures 5, 21), and wherein the interval between the groove portion is decrease as the distance from the bar-like light source (see figure 11, see the angle of two slops of convex and concave, see column 6, lines 7-25), or the depth of the groove portions is increased as the distance from the bar-light source increase (see the dept of convex and concave, see column 6, lines 18-49).
- 5. Regarding claims 13-14, Yokota et al. disclose that wherein the bar-like light source comprises a bar-like fluorescent tube (33) and the bar-like light source also includes an inherent bar-like transparent light conductive member and a light emitting diode which is provided at the end portion of the bar-like transparent light conductive member (see column 1, lines 39-44, see figure 4, column 2, lines 51-55).

Page 3

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/642,642

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claim 12, Yokota et al. disclose every feature of the claimed invention, excluding the bar-like light source is in the range of 10 to 45 degrees. From the claims, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to the Yokota et al.'s system to have the bar-like light source is in the range of 10 to 45 degrees as claimed since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the range of the system. A change in range is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent unexpected results.

See In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1995) and

In re Raven, 156 USPQ 679 (CCPA 1968).

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/642,642

Art Unit: 2674

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen December 24, 2003

RIGHTARD OBERTS

RICHARD FALLUT EXALINEE

SUPERVISORY FALLUT EXALINEE

SUPERVISORY FALLUT EXALINEE

RICHARD OBERTS

RICHARD OB

THE RETURN OF THE 2000